

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Government have never viewed anything on likes or dislikes. They have got only one standard, namely, the purpose it serves by giving advertisement to the paper.

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—May I draw the attention of the Hon'ble Chair to the fact that this matter should be cleared up, because, what has got to be cleared is whether the Government follows a very clear policy in the matter of patronage of these papers and whether there is a question of partiality or impartiality must be made known to this House. Thereby no public interests are affected. On the other hand public interest is served by disclosing that information.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That will be examined in consultation with the Government.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I can make only one position clear arising out of the observation of Sri T. Mariappa. Government have no likes or dislikes. They have never looked at it from any partial point of view.

Sri K. S. VASAN.—We can quite understand the Government not being able to answer when we ask questions regarding prohibition when it would be introduced and continued, because it is a directive principle of State policy. But when we ask for certain information on facts and if answers provided do not correspond to reality and fact, then our putting questions to elicit answers is meaningless.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Is it the meaning of the Hon'ble Member that the answers furnished are not in accordance with facts?

Sri K. S. VASAN.—Yes Sir. I bring this to your notice.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will see.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಆರ್. ಕರಿಗೌಡ.—ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಸಿ. ವರದಿಯ ಪ್ರತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಯಾವಾಗ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾರೆ ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—I will ascertain and give information.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Please furnish the information to Hon'ble Member.

## QUESTIONS FOR ANSWERS ON THE DAY

(but not taken up.)

### Short Term Credit Scheme.

Q.—40. Sri B. MADHAVACHAR (Bhadravathi).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the total amount of short-term credit finances for agriculturists distributed in the State during the current year;

(b) the amount they propose to make available under this Scheme next year;

(c) whether they are aware of the amounts advanced to agriculturists under the scheme last year by Government of Madras and Bombay and if so, what they are ?

A.—Dr. R. NAGANGOWDA (Minister for Agriculture).—

(a) Rs. 37,04,091 (Rupees thirty-seven lakhs, four thousand, and ninety-one only).

(b) A target of Rs. 70 lakhs is envisaged for 1956-57 in the scheme included under the Second Five-Year Plan.

(c) Government are not aware.

### Anicut for Tungabhadra at Madalahatti.

Q.—266. Sri SIRASAPPA IJARI (Harapanahalli).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether they have received any representations about anicut for Thungabadra at Madalahatti and Hosahalli in Hadagali Taluk;

(b) if so, the action taken on them;

(c) if not, whether they will now examine them, conduct investigation and order sanction for them ?

A.—Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

(a) No.

(b) Does not arise in view of reply to (a).

(c) Will be examined.